

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/657,486 | 09/08/2003 | Carl L. Sisemore | 84628 | 2685 | |
| 23501 7590 02/02/2007 NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION OFFICE OF COUNSEL, CODE XDC1 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | THORNEWELL, KIMBERLY A | | |
| | GREN ROAD VA 22448-5110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ŕ | | · | 2128 | | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/657,486 | SISEMORE, CARL L. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kimberly Thornewell | 2128 | | |

| · | Kimberly Thornewell | 2128 | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 January 200</u> 7 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions. | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F I36(a) and the appropria | on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee |
| under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | inally set in the final Offi | ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>1</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | t be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | • |
| | | • | |
| · | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: The objection to claim 1 is currently maintained because in the third step, lines 1-2 should read "through a series OF substantially rigid rib connections."

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

As no new arguments have been presented, the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) over the ShipCAM reference is maintained.

KAMINI SHAH

2